## REMARKS

Applicants thank the Examiner for the very thorough consideration given the present application.

Applicants have amended claims 1 and 5, cancelled claims 2-4, and added claims 6-8. Accordingly, claims 1 and 5-6 are pending for consideration. Reconsideration of this application, as amended, is respectfully requested.

## I. Priority Under 35 U.S.C. § 119

Applicants thank the Examiner for acknowledging Applicants' claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119, and receipt of the certified priority document.

## II. Information Disclosure Citation

Applicants thank the Examiner for considering the references supplied with the Information Disclosure Statement filed July 17, 2003, and for providing Applicants with an initialed copy of the PTO-1449 form filed therewith.

## III. Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> Paragraph

Claims 1 and 4 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicants regard as the

Attorney Docket No. 0763-0173P

Application No.: 10/620,680

Art Unit 2814

Amendment filed June 3, 2004

Page 6

invention, for the reasons set forth on page 2 of the Office Action.

Applicants have amended claim 1 and cancelled claim 4 without

prejudice.

In amended claim 1, it is particularly pointed out that the ternary

phase layer is formed of Co, Si, and a metal which is selected from a

group consisting of Ti, Ta, W, V, Cr, Mn, Zr, Mo and Hf. Therefore, it is

clear what material would constitute the ternary phase layer in claim 1.

In view of the amendments above, it is respectfully requested that the

rejections under § 112 second paragraphs should be withdrawn.

IV. Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claim 3 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being

unpatentable over the Applicants' admitted prior art (AAPA) of this

application in view of Hirade (US Patent No. 5,858,888). This rejection is

respectfully traversed.

A complete discussion of the Examiner's rejection is set forth in the

Office Action, and is not being repeated here.

While not conceding the appropriateness of the Examiner's rejection,

but merely to advance prosecution of the instant application, Applicants

respectfully submit that claim 3 has been cancelled, thus rendering this

rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 moot. Accordingly, reconsideration and

withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

Attorney Docket No. 0763-0173P Amendment filed June 3, 2004

Application No.: 10/620,680

Art Unit 2814

Page 7

V. Allowable Subject Matter

The Examiner states that claims 2 and 5 would be allowable if

rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the early indication of allowable

subject matter in this application. Objected-to claim 2 has been cancelled.

Objected-to claim 5 has been substantially rewritten into independent

form, and should therefore be allowed.

VI. Claims 6-8

Claims 6-8 have been added for the Examiner's consideration.

Applicants submit that claims 6-8 depend, either directly or indirectly,

from independent claim 5, and are therefore allowable based on their

dependence from claim 5 which is believed to be allowable. In addition,

claims 6-8 recite further limitations which are not disclosed or made

obvious by the applied prior art references.

Consideration and allowance of claims 6-8 are respectfully

requested.

Attorney Docket No. 0763-0173P Amendment filed June 3, 2004

Application No.: 10/620,680

Art Unit 2814

Page 8

VII. Conclusion

All of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed,

accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicants therefore respectfully

request that the Examiner reconsider all presently outstanding rejections

and that they be withdrawn. It is believed that a full and complete

response has been made to the outstanding Office Action, and as such, the

present application is in condition for allowance.

If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal

communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner

is invited to telephone James T. Eller, Jr., Registration No. 39,538, at (703)

205-8000, in the Washington, D.C. area.

Prompt and favorable consideration of this Amendment is

respectfully requested.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this,

concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any

Application No.: 10/620,680

Art Unit 2814

Attorney Docket No. 0763-0173P Amendment filed June 3, 2004

Page 9

overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

By:

James T. Eller, Jr.

Reg. No.: 39,538

JTE:cms

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

Telephone: (703)205-8000